

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/623,075 07/18/2003 Subhashis Banerjec BPI-192 3576 959 7590 05/02/2006 **EXAMINER** LAHIVE & COCKFIELD BLANCHARD, DAVID J 28 STATE STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BOSTON, MA 02109 1643

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/62	23,075	BANERJEE ET AL	BANERJEE ET AL.	
		Exam	niner	Art Unit		
		David	J. Blanchard	1643		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears of	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRANCE IS LONGER IN PROVIDED TO PROVI	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. latutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUNION no event, however, may a read will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 April 200	04.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex-parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 are subject to restrict	ion and/or election	n requirement.	•		
Applicat	ion Papers			•		
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC)-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	1 10/30/00)	· —			

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of treating a subject suffering from anemia comprising administering a TNF-α antibody, classified in class 424, subclass 145.1.
 - II. Claims 12-13 drawn to a kit comprising a TNF- α antibody classified in class 530, subclass 388.23.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - (a) anemia related to rheumatoid arthritis
 - (b) anemia of infection and chronic inflammatory diseases
 - (c) iron deficiency anemia
 - (d) autoimmune hemolytic anemia
 - (e) myelophthisic anemia
 - (f) aplastic anemia
 - (g) hypoplastic anemia
 - (h) pure red cell aplasia
 - (i) anemia associated with renal failure or endocrine disorders
 - (j) megaloblastic anemias
 - (k) defects in heme or globin synthesis
 - (I) sickle-cell anemia
 - (m)sideroblastic anemia
 - (n) anemia associated with chronic infections

The species are distinct in having different etiologies and different effects.

If applicant elects the invention of Group I, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to further elect a single disclosed species (i.e., one of species (a)-(n)) for prosecution on

Art Unit: 1643

the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process

Application/Control Number: 10/623,075

Art Unit: 1643

for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the antibody of Group II can be used to purify the antigen in addition to the materially different method of Group I, which differ in the method steps, parameters, reagents used and endpoints.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter and different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See

Application/Control Number: 10/623,075

Art Unit: 1643

"Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. Blanchard whose telephone number is (571) 272-0827. The examiner can normally be reached at Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached at (571) 272-0832. The official fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,075

Art Unit: 1643

Page 6

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully, David J. Blanchard 571-272-0827

Earl Blbul